



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/816,479      | 04/01/2004  | Jeffrey T. Babicz    | 2647-004            | 1210             |

23405 7590 06/30/2006

HESLIN ROTHENBERG FARLEY & MESITI PC  
5 COLUMBIA CIRCLE  
ALBANY, NY 12203

EXAMINER

LOCKETT, KIMBERLY R

|          |              |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

2837

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/816,479

Applicant(s)

BABICZ, JEFFREY T.

Examiner

Kim R. Lockett

Art Unit

2837

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/29/06.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 8 and 17 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

## Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

**-Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103**

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1, 2, 9, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bunker in view of Taylor and Fender.

Bunker discloses the use of a stringed musical instrument comprising a body, a neck, one or more strings stretched over said body and said neck (column 6, lines 18-21); and mounting means for mounting the neck to the body. Bunker further discloses the use of a neck and body that have adjoining means for providing a tightly fitting neck body interface so that the neck can be securely mounted to the body by the mounting means (column 5, lines 65-68).

Bunker does not disclose the specific use of an adjustment means to move the neck vertically without changing the angle of the neck relative to the body.

Taylor discloses the use of a stringed musical instrument with an adjustment means to move the neck vertically without changing the angle of the neck relative to the body (see figures 9-11). Taylor further discloses the use of a musical instrument wherein the adjusting means also comprises a height adjustment screw insert fixably secured to the neck; and a height adjustment screw extending from the back of the body through the height adjustment screw

Art Unit: 2837

insert, the height adjustment screw fixable threadingly engaging the body to the neck and providing for the adjustment of the vertical height of the neck relative to the body by turning the height adjustment screw in a clockwise or counter clockwise direction to increase or decrease the distance the neck extends from the body (see figures 8 and 10).

Bunker and Taylor does disclose the use of a neck that is adjustable without loosening the neck from the body.

Fender discloses the use of a stringed musical instruemtn comprising a neck with an adjustment means that allows vertical movement of the neck without loosening the neck from the body (see column 4, lines 8-10).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device as disclosed by Bunker with the adjustment means as disclosed by Taylor and the screws as disclosed by Fender in order to bsorb neck tension from the neck incline.

3. Claims 3- 5 and 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bunker in view of Taylor and Steinberger.

Bunker discloses the use of a stringed musical instrument comprising a body, a neck, one or more strings stretched over said body and said neck (column 6, lines 18-21); and mounting means for mounting the neck to the body. Bunker further discloses the use of a neck and body that have adjoining means for providing a tightly fitting neck body interface so that the neck can be securely mounted to the body by the mounting means (column 5, lines 65-68).

Art Unit: 2837

Bunker does not disclose the specific use of an adjustment means to move the neck vertically without changing the angle of the neck relative to the body.

Taylor discloses the use of a stringed musical instrument with an adjustment means to move the neck vertically without changing the angle of the neck relative to the body (see figures 9-11). Taylor further discloses the use of a musical instrument wherein the adjusting means also comprises a height adjustment screw insert fixably secured to the neck; and a height adjustment screw extending from the back of the body through the height adjustment screw insert, the height adjustment screw fixably threadingly engaging the body to the neck and providing for the adjustment of the vertical height of the neck relative to the body by turning the height adjustment screw in a clockwise or counter clockwise direction to increase or decrease the distance the neck extends from the body (see figures 8 and 10).

Bunker and Taylor do not disclose the use of a spring to provide pressure.

Steinberger discloses the use of a string musical instrument with a spring, an adjustable neck and a screw to provide adjustment pressure (see abstract). Steinberger also discloses the use of a neck block with a recess for the bottom of the neck where the neck has a bottom approximately the same size as the recess (see figure 5) and the use of guides to accommodate the bottom of the neck (see figure 7).

Bunker, Taylor, and Steinberger do not disclose the use of a neck that is adjustable without loosening the neck from the body.

Art Unit: 2837

Fender discloses the use of a stringed musical instruemtn comprising a neck with an adjustment means that allows vertical movement of the neck without loosening the neck from the body (see column 4, lines 8-10).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device as disclosed by Bunker with the adjustment means as disclosed by Taylor and the spring as disclosed by Steinberger and the screw as disclosed by Fender in order to provide lateral adjustment.

***Allowable Subject Matter***

4. Claims 8 and 17 are allowed.

***Response to Arguments***

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 and 8-17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2837


A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

7. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 2800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 2800 via the PTO 2800 Fax Center at 703-872-9306.

For assistance in **Patent procedure, fees or general Patent questions** calls should be directed to the **Patents Assistance Center (PAC)** whose **telephone number is 800-786-9199**. Assistance is also available on the Internet at [www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov).

Any inquiry concerning **this communication or earlier communications from the examiner** should be directed to **Kim Lockett** whose **telephone number is (571) 272-2067**. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday from 6:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lincoln Donovan can be reached on (571) 272-1988.



**KIMBERLY LOCKETT**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**